

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
2 ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065
3 rvannest@kvn.com
4 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325
5 canderson@kvn.com
6 DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424
7 dpurcell@kvn.com
8 633 Battery Street
9 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
10 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

7 KING & SPALDING LLP
8 BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice)
bbaber@kslaw.com
1185 Avenue of the Americas
9 New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 556-2100
10 Fax: (212) 556-2222

11 Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

16 Plaintiffs,

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION
IN LIMINE NO. 3 RE IRRELEVANT
TESTIMONY INTENDED TO SUGGEST
VIOLATION OF PRIVACY OR
ANTITRUST LAWS

17 v.

18 GOOGLE INC.,

Hearing: April 27, 2016
Dept. Courtroom 8, 19th Fl.
Judge: Hon. William Alsup

19 Defendant.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3
RE IRRELEVANT TESTIMONY INTENDED TO SUGGEST
VIOLATION OF PRIVACY OR ANTITRUST LAWS
Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

Before the Court is Defendant Google Inc.’s Motion to preclude Oracle from introducing at trial improper and irrelevant evidence or argument incorrectly insinuating that Google is violating the jurors’ privacy rights, privacy laws, and antitrust laws. This includes evidence or argument reflected in Oracle’s expert reports relating to: (1) Google’s purported collection, use and sale of user data; and (2) purported attempts by Google to “control” or “dominate” markets.

Having considered the Motion, the pleadings on file and any other relevant materials, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Google's Motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

By: HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE